



No. U-14021/01/2024-UGMEB Government of  
India  
National Medical Commission  
(Under Graduate Medical Education Board)



Pocket- 14, Sector- 8,  
Dwarka, Phase-1, New Delhi-77  
Date: 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2024

### **PUBLICNOTICE**

All Medical Colleges/Institutions/Deemed Universities are hereby directed to furnish the Video Recording of the forthcoming annual Examinations for every phase of MBBS (1st MBBS, 2nd MBBS, 3rd-First MBBS and 3rd-Final) to be conducted by respective Colleges/Institutions/Deemed Universities along with completed STANDARD ASSESSMENT FORM-C (copy enclosed) through electronic links such as Google, Sharepoint etc. to National Medical Commission on designated e-mail [ug.exam@nmc.org.in](mailto:ug.exam@nmc.org.in) immediately after the completion of the Examination.

2. Further, it is intimated that the submission of above information is necessary for considering the proposals for grant of renewal of permissions. Failing of submission of above required recording/documents shall invite appropriate action as deemed fit.

3. All permitted seats stand recognized as per MSMER 2023 dated 19th September, 2023.

**(Shambhu Sharan Kumar)**  
**Director, UGMEB**

Signed by  
Shambhu Sharan Kumar  
Date: 06-02-2024 18:44:38

# NATIONAL MEDICAL COMMISSION

## STANDARD ASSESSMENT FORM

### FORM - C

File No:

/Assessment No.:

Name of Course: MBBS

Name of Subject: Biochemistry

Name of College: G. M C Haridwar.

Name of University: H N B M U .

Place of examination: Haridwar.

Date/s of examination: 8/Sep/25 & 9/Sep/25 (Theory)

#### 1. ASSESSORS

Practical - (11 to 13/Sep/25)

Sl.	Name	Official address	Mobile No.	email address
1	Dr. Suman Kumari Pandey	Department of Biochemistry GMC Haridwar	9410316601	pdrisht74@gmail.com

Assessment order/letter number:

1120 /	एच०एन०बी०यू०एम०यू० / 25-26	दिनांक 21.08.2025

## 2. REPORT OF PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT

Deficiencies pointed out in the last Assessment/ if any	Remarks
NILL	NILL

### A. Scheme of Examination

	Marks allotted	Minimum passing marks
1. Theory:		
Final examination :	200	100
Internal assessment :	100	40
Total for Theory :	200	100
2. Clinical/practical:		
Final examination :	100	50
Internal assessment :	100	40
Total for Practical :	100	50
3. Viva-voce:		
Final examination :		
Internal assessment :		
Total for Viva-voce :		

### Grand Total:

### B. Theory (Attach 1 copy of each of the papers)

1. Theory paper:	Subject:	Time: __ Hrs.	Date of exam:
	No. 1: Biochemistry Paper I	3 hrs (10am-1pm)	08/09/25
	No. 2: Biochemistry Paper II	3 hrs (10am-1pm)	09/05/25
2. Place of conduct of exam.	: LT 1 & 2		
3. Invigilation arrangements	: As per University policy		
4. No. of candidates appeared	: 100 Students		

**C. Remarks by the Assessor/s regarding:**

- a) Nature of the questions – MCQs/SAQs/Structured Essay type/Long answers: Yes
- b) Type of Questions – Recall based/Application based/Problem based: All
- c) Standard of questions - level of difficulty: Easy/Moderate/ Difficult: Moderate
- d) Do they broadly cover the prescribed curriculum? Yes
- e) Standard of the answers: (On a scale of 10 where 0 = Very poor and 10 = Outstanding) - 08
- f) Internal assessment marks (to be reviewed by the assessors) that have contributed to final examination: Assessment Done
- g) Method/pattern of examination of internal examinations conducted during the course of training/study (to be clearly stated): Theory and practical
- h) Have the Internal assessment marks influenced the final examination? Yes

**II. PRACTICAL/CLINICAL EXAMINATION:**

**PRACTICAL**

- a) Conduct of the practical examination (provide details including OSCE). –
  - Done using OSCE , case base , Qualitative and Quantitative experiments and viva
- b) Does the practical examination broadly cover the discipline? - Yes
- c) Time allotted for different sections of the examination (Provide details).
  - Two case base study of five minutes each
  - OSCE 10 – ten minutes
  - , Qualitative and Quantitative experiments- 2 hours 30 minutes
  - Viva – 5-10 minutes for each student.

d) Details of examiners: (please attach brief CV of the examiners).

**Note: Provide this information in a sealed envelop marked confidential).**

<b>Names Qualification</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Year of Experience as UG Teacher / Examiner</b>
<b>Internal examiners</b> - Dr. Suman Kumari Pandey MBBS, MD ( Biochemistry) GMC, haridwar	Professor & HOD	12 Years
<b>External examiners</b> - Dr. Manisha Naithani MBBS, MD ( Biochemistry) AIIMS Rishikesh	Professor	18 Years
- Dr. Shikha Saxena MBBS, MD ( Biochemistry) ASMC, Pilibhit- (UP)	Professor & HOD	12 Years
- Dr. Rana Usmani MBBS, MD ( Biochemistry) SGRR I, Dehradun	Professor	9 Years

e) Mode of practical examination: In batches or otherwise and number of students per day  
(Provide details)

- List attached

f) Are there other examination centers in the same University: Yes

1. GDMC Dehradun – 1.GDMC Dehradun      2. GMC Haldwani
3. SSJGIMSR Almora 4. VCSGGMS & RI- Srinagar

g) Do the same exam in ersconduct the examination in other centers too? No

If not. what steps are taken to ensure uniformity of standards? Are meetings of theexaminers being conducted and guidelines are given by the University)

h) Date of Examination in different centers

1. GDMC Dehradun – 11 to 13 Sep 2025
2. GMC Haldwani - 11 to 13 Sep 2025
3. GMC Almora - 11 to 13 Sep 2025
4. GMC Shri Nagar - 11 to 13 Sep 2025

i) Date and time when the examination was inspected by the assessors  
11 – 13 September 2025, 9 am to 4pm

j) Are the invigilation arrangements satisfactory? YES

k) No.of candidates appeared.- 100 Students

l) Number and typeofpracticalexercisesallottedtocandidates(enclosecopyof questions/tasks) = OSCE 10 , two case study , one qualitative experiment and one quantitative experiment

m) The standard displayed (On ascaleof 10 where0=Very poor and10 =Outstanding)

8-9

n) Minimummarksrequiredfor passing: =As per NMC

### PRACTICAL

1. No ofLong cases= Nil
2. No ofShort cases= 2
3. Howmanycases weregiventoeachcandidate– longandshort cases? = Two short case each student
4. Typeof cases given(attach listoflong and short cases)
5. Averagetimefor(longcasesandshortcases) foreachCandidate. Two short case 5 mintutes for

each case for student.

a. Time for examining the patient

b. Time for discussion fixed time or changed as per the answers of the candidate.

6. How was the assessment done? = Viva and reading

7. Standard displayed by candidates in general in the clinical part of the examination. (On a scale of 10 where 0 = Very poor and 10 = Outstanding) = 8 to 9

8. Nature of Discussion of case by the candidate – level of difficulty of questions (On a scale of 10 where 0 = Very easy and 10 = very difficult) = 8-9

a. Number & type of questions (a copy of the question asked by the examiners may be attached) = 5-6 question each

b. Have all the candidates been uniformly examined and grades or marks awarded as per merit of the question? = Yes

c. Was the discussion fair, searching and sufficient for the assessment of practical knowledge and skills? = Yes

d. Was the atmosphere friendly and allowed the candidates to express themselves freely? = Yes

e. Were supplementary questions asked by the examiners to gauge the depth of knowledge of the candidates? = Yes

9. Was the assessment done jointly by more than one Examiner?

= Individual examination of each student

10. How may marks be allotted for clinical examination?

= -

11. What is the minimum percentage for passing the clinical part?

= -

12. Are marks obtained in regular internal examinations added on to the marks obtained in the final clinical examination?

= -

13. Were any other marks from their course of training, or clinical works done in the wards added to the marks obtained in the final clinical examination?

= -

14. If so, to what extent (in percentage of marks)?

= -

15. Has it influenced the result at the final examination?

= -

(Method of assessment of clinical work in the wards may be clearly stated).

### **VIVA-VOICE**

1. The content of the interaction (Give extent of coverage of subject)

= Reasoning question, interactive question

2. How was it conducted (provide details)?

= one examiner assesses one student

3. What was the standard? (On a scale of 10 where 0 = Very poor and 10 = Outstanding).

= 8 to 9

4. What was the nature of assessment?

= Interactive, reasoning based

5. Was it done jointly by more than one examiner?

= One examiner per student

6. How are the marks obtained in different parts of the examination grouped?

= After declaration of the result

7. What is the minimum for passing in each section and in the grand total?

= Minimum fifty percentage

8. Results for the last three years.

= Nil

9. No. of students appeared?

= 100 students

10. No. of students passed?

= After the declaration of university result

11. Other remarks if any.

Nil.

**Conclusions:**

Was the standard sufficient for the M.B.B.S. examination as required by Regulations of the Medical Council of India/National Medical Commission? Yes.

Was the examination conducted satisfactorily to the assessor? If not, the reasons to be mentioned: Yes.

Observations of the assessors are to be made in assessment report only.



**HOD  
Biochemistry Department  
Govt. Medical College  
Maddur**